

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,540	(	03/01/2002	Russell Savio		60,518-012	8359	
27305	7590	11/22/2004		Γ	EXAMINER		
		ARD ATTORN	_	NGUYEN, BINH AN DUC			
THE PINEHU	JRST OF	FICE CENTER	. SUITE #101	#101			
39400 WOODWARD AVENUE					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIEL	BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151						

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	Office Action October	10/082,540	SAVIO ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Binh-An D. Nguyen	3713						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress					
THE { - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the,mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status				6					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ag	<u>oril 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8,21-25 and 38 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8,21-25 and 38 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.							
		election requirement.							
_	on Papers								
· _ ·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🗌	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage					
Attachment	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Note that, the instant application claims priority on U.S. Application 09/966234, however, it appears that the instant application is a continuing of application 09/966234 and application 09/966234 has been abandoned prior to filing date of the instant application. Further, applicants' submission of a copy of extension of time sent April 9, 2004 is not valid since the extension was for a different application, i.e.,09/966,468, therefore the priority is not considered.

Acknowledgment has been made.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 21-25, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawford (US 2003/0017868 A1) in view of Kaplan (5,413,342).

Crawford teaches a video slot gaming machine, method, or computer program embodied in a medium (having computer readable program code means thereto) for playing by a player (Figures 1-2), comprising: a housing having a display device for

displaying a plurality of game elements in a grid having a plurality of cells (Fig.1); a memory device (215) for storing a pay-table (paragraph numeral 36); a game controller (210) coupled to the display device (230) and the memory device (215) (and random generator 220) for randomly selecting the game elements to be displayed in the display device and for determining an outcome based on the displayed game elements, a pay-table, predetermined vertical pay-lines, and a wager made by the player (paragraph numerals 30-35, 38); the game controller is adapted to randomly select a game element for each cell (paragraph numerals 36); and select a stopping position for each array; and wherein the memory device is adapted to store a plurality of arrays of game elements (predetermined winning payline patterns) (paragraph numeral 36).

Crawford does not teach the limitations of the cells represent a plurality of horizontal reels (claims 1, 21, and 38); the game controller is adapted to animate the display device to represent the spinning (or sliding) of horizontal reels (claims 2 and 22). Kaplan, however, teaches a slot machine comprising horizontal reels having cells including a plurality of arrays of game elements thereon (figs.1-8); spinning (or sliding) of horizontal reels.

Regarding the limitations of a the set of possible game elements includes gems and symbols representing the legs, torso and arms, and head of first, second, and third characters (claim 6); the first, second, and third characters have different shapes (claim 7); and wherein the first, second, and third characters have different colors (claim 8), it is notoriously well known in the gaming industry to have game elements comprising gems or human characters; thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

Art Unit: 3713

skill in the art to use different body parts with different colors as game elements for a video slot machine.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a method for playing slot machine having horizontal reels of Kaplan to Crawford's video slot machine to provide real experience and enhance the friendly user interface of slot machine thus attract more game players and increase profit.

## Response to Amendment

- 4. The Declaration filed on April 9, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Crawford (US 2003/0017868) reference.
- 5. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Crawford reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See Mergenthaler v. Scudder, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897). The conception presented by some sketches and few highlights under "Stick Figure Game," and a photocopy of compact disk do not present a full concept of applicants' invention of an apparatus and method for playing a video slot gaming

Application/Control Number: 10/082,540 Page 5

Art Unit: 3713

machine having a paytable and predetermined vertical paylines with disclosure that would bring forth a working gaming apparatus. See MPEP 2138.04

- 6. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date, July 19, 2001, of the Crawford reference. The presented simple sketches absent details on the functions of the game, and a photocopy of compact disk (unable to ascertain disk contents) are insufficient to establish a reduction to practice that would provide a working video slot machine and method having a paytable and predetermined vertical paylines.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,540 Page 6

Art Unit: 3713

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh-An D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5713. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 703-308-2159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BN

A. L. WELLINGTON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
HNOLOGY CENTER 3700

a. J. Welling